arXiv:math/0212020v2 [math.PR] 23 Jan 2004

ASYMPTOTIC FLUX ACROSS HYPERSURFACES FOR DIFFUSION PROCESSES

ANDREA POSILICANO AND STEFANIA UGOLINI

Abstract. We suggest a rigorous definition of the pathwise flux across the boundary of a bounded open set for transient finite energy diffusion processes. The expectation of such a flux has the property of depending only on the current velocity v, the nonsymmetric (with respect to time reversibility) part of the drift. In the case where the diffusion has a limiting velocity we define the asymptotic flux across subsets of the sphere of radius R, when R tends to infinity, and compute its expectation in terms of v.

Keywords: diffusion processes, (random) flux across surfaces, current velocity

1. Introduction

In a previous paper [13] the authors gave a pathwise probabilistic versions of the Scattering-into-Cones and Flux–across–Surfaces theorems in Quantum Mechanics and then recovered the known analytical results by taking suitable expectations.

Here we extend the main probabilistic results contained in [13] to a large class of Markovian diffusions with no a priori connection with Quantum Mechanics.

We consider diffusions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which are weak solutions of s.d.e.'s of the form

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)\,dt + dW_t\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where W_t is a standard Wiener process and the drift vector field $b_t(x) \equiv b(t,x)$ satisfies

$$\forall\, T > 0, \qquad \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|b(t, X_t)\|^2\,dt < +\infty\,. \tag{1.2}$$

The condition (1.2) is a *finite energy* condition in the sense of Föllmer [6]. By Girsanov theory one proves that (1.2) is equivalent to a *finite entropy* condition: the probabilitity measure describing the weak solution of (1.1) has finite relative entropy with respect to the Wiener measure. By definition of relative entropy, this fact implies absolute

continuity and therefore the distribution of X_t, $t > 0$ is absolutely continuous (with respect to Lebesgue measure) with some density function ρ_t.

A very peculiar consequence of (1.2) is that not only the Markovian property is preserved under time reversal, but also the diffusion one. We will call this property *time reversibility of the diffusive character*. The class of diffusion processes individuated by this invariance property was firstly proposed by Nelson in 1966 [10] in the framework of Stochastic Mechanics. Such diffusions were then studied by Zheng and Meyer who called them *semimartingales dans les deux directions du temps* [14]. Within this class, Carlen in 1984 [2] (see [4] for an alternative proof) solved the existence problem of weak solutions of (1.1) in the case of (unbounded) drift fields satisfying (1.2). Successively, Föllmer [6] gave a very elegant characterization based on the relative entropy approach. Under time reversal the process solution of (1.1) is again a solution of a s.d.e. of type (1.1) with some dual drift field b^*_t (and, of course, another standard Wiener process) which satisfies the relation:

$$b_t(x) - b^*_t(x) = \nabla \log \rho_t(x) \tag{1.3}$$

The duality relation (1.3) allows to introduce a relevant decomposition of the drift field as the sum of two vector fields :

$$v_t = \frac{b_t + b^*_t}{2}, \qquad u_t = \frac{b_t - b^*_t}{2}$$

called respectively current and osmotic velocity [11]. In the symmetric case, $v_t = 0$ and thus $b_t = u_t$ is of gradient type according to (1.3). Therefore the current velocity v_t represents the non symmetric part of the drift field. We will see that only the current velocity is involved in the expression of the flux across surfaces by the diffusion paths.

A useful consequence of the time reversibility of the diffusion property is then the validity (in the weak sense) of the continuity equation for the couple (ρ, v):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\,\rho_t = -\nabla \cdot (\rho_t v_t)\,. \tag{1.4}$$

In this paper we give a rigorous definition of the pathwise flux across the boundary of a bounded set by a transient Markov diffusion process solution of (1.1) and satisfying the energy condition (1.2).

Given a bounded open set D let us consider the function

$$N_{\partial D} := N^+_{\partial D} - N^-_{\partial D}\ , \tag{1.5}$$

where $N^+_{\partial D}(\gamma)$ (resp. $N^-_{\partial D}(\gamma)$) denotes the number of inward (resp. outward) crossing by the path $t \mapsto X_t(\gamma)$ of the boundary ∂D, γ being

the point in the probability space. It is not a local time because we need to distinguish outward from inward crossing in order to have the pathwise analogoue of a net flux. The problem is that almost surely the diffusion X_t intersects ∂D on a set of times that has no isolated points and is uncountable. Therefore the definition of $N_{\partial D}$ given above makes no sense in general. However, by a suitable redefinition of $N_{\partial D}$ as the total mass of the almost surely compactly supported random distribution $-\frac{d}{dt}\,\chi_D(X_t)$, where χ_D is the characteristic function of the set D (see section 3 for details), we can give a rigorous definition of the pathwise flux across ∂D. Then, by using the continuity equation (1.4), in the case where ∂D is a regular hypersurface we can compute (see theorem 3.2) the expectation $\Phi_{\partial D}$ of the pathwise flux $N_{\partial D}$ in terms of the current velocity v, obtaining

$$\Phi_{\partial D} = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\partial D} \rho(t,x)\, v(t,x) \cdot n(x)\, d\sigma(x)\, dt \ , \tag{1.6}$$

where n denotes the outward unit normal vector along ∂D and σ is the surface measure. We interpret $\Phi_{\partial D}$ as the flux of X_t across ∂D. Note that, by our choice of signs in (1.5), D is a source if $\Phi_{\partial D} > 0$ and is a sink if $\Phi_{\partial D} < 0$.

Of course the definition of flux given above does not extend to the case of a hypersurface which is not a boundary. This restriction can be avoided, at least asymptotically, in the case where the diffusion X_t has a limiting velocity, i.e.

$$\lim_{t\uparrow\infty} \frac{1}{t}\, X_t = v_\infty \tag{1.7}$$

exists almost surely for some non zero random vector v_∞. A simple but general condition giving (1.7), which is again a finite entropy condition, was obtained by Carlen [3] (see Theorem 4.3 below).

Suppose that Σ is an open subset of the unit sphere with $\partial\Sigma$ a finite union of C^1 manifolds. In order to define the asymptotic flux across Σ we consider the cone $C_\Sigma := \big\{\lambda x \in \mathbb{R}^d \ : \ x \in \Sigma,\ \lambda > 0\big\}$. Using (1.7) (see section 5 for the details) we can then define N^a_Σ, the asymptotic pathwise flux across Σ, by the limit $R \uparrow \infty$ of the mass N_{Σ_R} of the almost surely compactly supported random distribution $\frac{d}{dt}\chi_{C\cap B^c_R}(X_t)$, B_R being the closed ball of radius R. Note the change of sign in the definition of N_{Σ_R} with respect to $N_{\partial D}$. This is consistent with the fact that the exterior normal to $\Sigma_R := C_\Sigma \cap S_R$, S_R being the sphere of radius R, coincides with the interior normal to the boundary of $C \cap B^c_R$. No confusion can arise between the two different definitions since Σ_R is never the boundary of an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

We show (see theorem 5.2) that N^a_Σ is well defined since almost surely one has

$$N^a_\Sigma := \lim_{R\uparrow\infty} N_{\Sigma_R} = \chi_{C_\Sigma}(v_\infty)\,. \tag{1.8}$$

Moveover, if as before we define the flux by taking the expectation of the corresponding pathwise object, we have (see theorem 5.3)

$$\Phi^a_\Sigma = \lim_{R\uparrow\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\Sigma_R} \rho(t,x)\, v(t,x)\cdot n(x)\, d\sigma(x)\, dt\ . \tag{1.9}$$

The conditions required in order to obtain the stated results are, besides (1.1) and (1.2), that ρ_t and v_t belong to the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in order to obtain (1.6), (1.7) to get (1.8), and moreover we assume

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|\nabla v(t,X_t)\|^2\, dt < +\infty$$

for some $T>0$, to get (1.9).

Finally let us remark that our definition of a pathwise flux across ∂D is euristically equivalent to the ill-defined Stratonovich stochastic integral

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\partial D} \delta(X_t - x)\, n(x)\, d\sigma(x)\right) \circ dX_t\,.$$

Indeed, proceeding euristically, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\partial D} &= \int_0^{+\infty} -\frac{d}{dt}\chi_D(X_t)\, dt = -\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dX_t}{dt}\cdot\nabla\chi_D(X_t)\, dt \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dX_t}{dt}\cdot n(X_t)\,\delta_{\partial D}(X_t)\, dt \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\partial D} \delta(X_t - x)\, n(x)\, d\sigma(x)\right) \circ dX_t\,. \end{aligned}$$

2. The class of finite energy diffusion processes

Consider the measurable space $(\Omega,\mathcal{F})$, with $\Omega = C(\mathbb{R}_+;\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{F}$ the Borel σ–algebra, and let $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}_t,X_t)$ be the evaluation stochastic process $X_t(\gamma) := \gamma(t)$, $\gamma\in\Omega$, with $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s,\, 0\le s\le t)$ the natural filtration.

Let us suppose that:

H1) there exists a Borel probability measure $\mathbb{P}$ on $(\Omega,\mathcal{F})$ such that:

- $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}_t,X_t,\mathbb{P})$ is a Markov process;

- $W_t := X_t - X_0 - \int_0^t b(s, X_s)\, ds$ is a $(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F}_t)$–Wiener process, i.e. $\mathbb{P}$ is a weak solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)\, dt + dW_t \tag{2.1}$$

with initial distribution $\mu = \mathbb{P} \circ X_0^{-1}$, $\mu \ll \lambda$, λ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$;

H2) the adapted process b_t satisfies

$$\forall\, T > 0\,, \qquad \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|b(t, X_t)\|^2\, dt < +\infty \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ denotes the expectation with respect to the measure $\mathbb{P}$.

Remark 2.1. Since

$$H_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}^W) := \mathbb{E}\left(\log \frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\mathbb{P}^W}\bigg|_{\mathcal{F}_T}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|b(t, X_t)\|^2\, dt$$

where $\mathbb{P}^W := \int \mu(x)\mathbb{P}_x^W$, with $\mathbb{P}_x^W$ denoting the Wiener measure starting from x, see [6], the finite energy condition (2.2) is equivalent to a finite relative entropy condition. Thus (2.2) implies that $\mathbb{P}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathbb{P}^W$, and so X_t admits a density function ρ_t for any $t \geq 0$.

As a consequence of H1 and H2, the Markovian diffusion X_t preserves the diffusion property under time reversal. Indeed by Föllmer [6] one has:

Lemma 2.2. *Under the hypothesis H1, H2, defining $\hat{\mathbb{P}} := \mathbb{P} \circ R$, where R is the pathwise time reversal on $C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$, $R(\gamma)(t) := \gamma(T-t)$, there exists an adapted process $\hat{b}_t$ such that:*

$$\hat{W}_t := X_t - X_0 - \int_0^t \hat{b}(s, X_s)\, ds \tag{2.3}$$

is a $(\hat{\mathbb{P}}, \mathcal{F}_t)$–Wiener process.

Remark 2.3. Lemma 2.2 states that the finite energy (entropy) condition (2.2) is a sufficient condition for the time reversibility of the diffusion property. The proof is based on the fact that the finite entropy condition is invariant under time reversal. The extension to the infinite dimensional case is in [7]. Sufficient conditions are also given in [8]. Sufficient and necessary conditions for reversibility of diffusion property, in the case of Lipschitz drift fields, are investigated in [9].

It is well known that the drift field can be seen as a stochastic forward derivative in the sense of Nelson [10], [11]. In particular from (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that (see [6]):

$$b_t = \lim_{h\downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h}\mathbb{E}[X_{t+h} - X_t|\mathcal{F}_t] \qquad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{P})\,.$$

Analogously, from (2.3) one also has:

$$\hat{b}_t = \lim_{h\downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_{t+h} - X_t|\mathcal{F}_t] \qquad \text{in } L^2(\hat{\mathbb{P}})\,,$$

where $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ denotes the expectation with respect to the measure $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$. For our approach it is convenient to work with the same probability measure $\mathbb{P}$ as proposed by Nelson [10]. To this end we write:

$$\hat{b}_t = \lim_{h\downarrow 0} -\frac{1}{h}\mathbb{E}[X_{T-t} - X_{T-t-h}|\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{T-t}]\circ R \qquad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{P})\,,$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t = \sigma(X_s,\, s \geq t)$ is the natural future filtration.

Since the Markov property is preserved under time reversal also the dual drift is given by some measurable function $\hat{b}_t(\gamma) = \hat{b}(t, (X_t(\gamma))$. Let us define $b^*_t(x) = -\hat{b}_{T-t}(x)$ so that, as already obtained in [11]:

$$b^*_t = \lim_{h\downarrow 0} -\frac{1}{h}\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_{t-h}|\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t] \qquad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{P})\,,$$

and the following relation holds:

$$b_t(x) - b^*_t(x) = \nabla \log \rho_t(x) \tag{2.4}$$

between the drift field and its dual (see [2] and for the non Markovian case [6]).

The duality relation (2.4) allows to introduce the decomposition

$$b_t = u_t + v_t, \qquad b^*_t = -u_t + v_t$$

where

$$v_t = \frac{b_t + b^*_t}{2}, \qquad u_t = \frac{b_t - b^*_t}{2}$$

are called current and osmotic velocity respectively [10].

In the symmetric case, $v_t = 0, b_t = u_t, b^*_t = -u_t$, thus the drift field coincides up to the sign with its dual and, according to (2.4), it is of gradient type. Therefore the current velocity v_t represents the not symmetric (with respect to time reversal) part of the drift field. We will see that only the current velocity is involved in the expression of the flux across surfaces.

An important consequence of the time reversibility of the diffusion property is the validity of the continuity equation (in the weak sense) for the couple (ρ, v).

Indeed, recalling the Fokker-Planck equation associated with (2.1):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\,\rho_t = -\nabla\cdot(\rho_t b_t) + \Delta\rho_t$$

and the Fokker-Planck equation associated with (2.3):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\,\rho_t = -\nabla\cdot(\rho_t b_t^*) - \Delta\rho_t$$

and putting together the two equations one has:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\,\rho_t = -\nabla\cdot(\rho_t v_t) \tag{2.5}$$

where the definition of the current velocity has been used.

3. The pathwise flux across a boundary.

Given an open set D we want now to define the flux across ∂D by the path of a diffusion.

In order to do this we would like to introduce a pathwise analogous of the flux as the function

$$N_{\partial D}(\gamma) := N^+_{\partial D}(\gamma) - N^-_{\partial D}(\gamma)\ ,$$

where $N^+_{\partial D}(\gamma)$ (resp. $N^-_{\partial D}(\gamma)$) denotes the number of inward (resp. outward) crossing by $[0,+\infty)\ni t\mapsto\gamma(t)$ of ∂D. The problem is that the above definition makes no sense since $\mathbb{P}$–a.s. the set $\{t\,:\,X_t\in\partial D\}$ has no isolated points and is uncountable. Therefore we are forced to proceed in an alternative way.

Let us observe that if $\#\{t\,:\,\gamma(t)\in\partial D\}<+\infty$ then $N_{\partial D}(\gamma)$ is the total mass of the random signed measure

$$\sum_{t\in\{s\,:\,\gamma(s)\in\partial D\}} c(t)\,\delta_t\ ,$$

where $c(t)=+1$ if t corresponds to an outward crossing and $c(t)=-1$ if t corresponds to an inward crossing. Therefore

$$\sum_{t\in\{s\,:\,\gamma(s)\in\partial D\}} c(t)\,\delta_t = -\frac{d}{dt}\,\chi_D(\gamma(t))\,,$$

where the derivative has to be intended in distributional sense, and thus we give the following

Definition 3.1. Given any open domain D, we define the random distribution

$$\mu_D:\Omega\to\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$$

by

$$\langle \mu_D(\gamma), \phi \rangle := \chi_D(\gamma(0))\, \phi(0) + \int_0^{+\infty} \chi_D(\gamma(t))\, \dot\phi(t)\, dt\,,$$

where $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) \equiv C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Supposing now that D is bounded and that X_t is transient, we have that $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely the random distribution μ_D has a compact support and so its mass, which we denote by $N_{\partial D}$, is well defined. We define then the flux across ∂D by $\Phi_{\partial D} := \mathbb{E}(N_{\partial D})$.

By the continuity equation (2.5) such an expectation can be explicitly calculated in terms of the current velocity v (use [13], theorem 7):

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_t, X_t, \mathbb{P})$ satify H1 and H2, with $\rho_t \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $v_t \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $t \geq 0$. For any open bounded domain D, with ∂D a finite union of C^1 manifolds, one has*

$$\Phi_{\partial D} = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\partial D} \rho(t,x)\, v(t,x) \cdot n(x)\, d\sigma(x)\, dt\ ,$$

where n denotes the outward unit normal vector along ∂D and σ is the surface measure.

4. Diffusion with an asymptotic velocity.

Since our goal is to define an asymptotic flux across hypersurfaces, we need to impose a condition on the time evolution of the process $\frac{1}{t} X_t$.

Definition 4.1. We say that the diffusion paths admit an asymptotic velocity when

H3)

$$\lim_{t\uparrow\infty} \frac{1}{t} X_t = v_\infty \neq 0 \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{–a.s.}$$

and moreover $\mu_\infty \ll \lambda$, where λ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and μ_∞ is the distribution of v_∞.

From now on by an open cone C_Σ we will mean a set of the form

$$\left\{ \lambda x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \ :\ x \in \Sigma,\ \lambda > 0 \right\}\,,$$

where Σ is an open subset of the unit sphere with $\partial\Sigma$ a finite union of C^1 manifolds.

Remark 4.2. For any open cone C_Σ, any ball B_R of radius R, and for any diffusion $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_t, X_t, \mathbb{P})$ satifying H1, H2 and H3, one has

$$\lim_{t\uparrow\infty} \chi_{C_\Sigma \cap B_R^c}(X_t) = \lim_{t\uparrow\infty} \chi_{C_\Sigma}(X_t) = \chi_{C_\Sigma}(v_\infty) \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{–a.s.}\ .$$

See [13] for a two-line proof. Thus hypotheses H3 requires that the limiting velocity is non negligible and such that asymptotically the paths have the same direction as their limiting velocity. For example the Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is transient but it has no limiting velocity according to our definition because of the S.L.L.N. Only a Brownian motion with drift could satisfy the requirement of our definition.

A simple but general condition giving the existence of a limiting velocity, which is again a finite relative entropy condition (now on the full σ-algebra and with respect to $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$, see the proof below), is given in the following (see [3]):

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_t, X_t, \mathbb{P})$ satify H1 and H2. If moreover one has:*

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \|b(t, X_t) - X_t/t\|^2 \, dt < +\infty \qquad t_0 > 0, \tag{4.1}$$

then:

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} X_t = v_\infty \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{–a.s.}$$

for some random variable v_∞.

Proof. The condition (4.1) implies, by [5], prop. 2.11, that $\mathbb{P} \ll \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$ on $\sigma(X_s, t_0 \leq s < +\infty)$, where $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is the weak solution of the simple stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = \frac{1}{t} X_t \, dt + \, d\widetilde{W}_t \, .$$

with $\widetilde{W}_t$ a standard Wiener process and is such that $\mathbb{P} \circ X_{t_0}^{-1} = \widetilde{\mathbb{P}} \circ X_{t_0}^{-1}$. Therefore:

$$d\left(\frac{1}{t} X_t\right) = -\frac{1}{t^2} X_t \, dt + \frac{1}{t} \, dX_t = \frac{1}{t} \, \widetilde{W}_t \, .$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{t} X_t = \frac{1}{t_0} X_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{1}{s} \, d\widetilde{W}_s \, .$$

Since

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{E}} \left(\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s} \, d\widetilde{W}_s \right)^2 = \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \, ds < +\infty$$

by Doob's martingale convergence theorem one gets $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$–a.s. convergence of $\frac{1}{t} X_t$. The theorem then follows by absolutely continuity. $\square$

Remark 4.4. Under the same hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 it is possible to prove (see [3]) that the random variable v_∞ generates the tail σ–algebra

$$\mathcal{T} := \bigcap_{t>0} \sigma(X_s,\ s \geq t)\ .$$

5. The asymptotic flux across hypersurfaces.

Let us consider hypersurfaces of the following type:

$$\Sigma_R = C_\Sigma \cap S_R\,,$$

where S_R is the sphere of radius R. We will define the pathwise flux across Σ_R in the limit when $R \uparrow \infty$.

Suppose at first that $\#\{t\,:\,\gamma(t) \in \Sigma_R\} < +\infty$. Since, by H3, $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ is definitively either in C_Σ or in $\bar{C}^c_\Sigma$, if R is sufficiently large one has

$$\sum_{t\in\{s\,:\,\gamma(s)\in\Sigma_R\}} c(t)\,\delta_t = \sum_{t\in\left\{s\,:\,\gamma(s)\in\Sigma_R\cup(\partial C_\Sigma\cup B^c_R)\right\}} c(t)\,\delta_t = \frac{d}{dt}\,\chi_{C_\Sigma\cap B^c_R}(\gamma(t))\,.$$

We are therefore lead to give the following

Definition 5.1. The asymptotic pathwise flux across Σ is defined by

$$N^a_\Sigma := \lim_{R\uparrow\infty} N_{\Sigma_R}\,,$$

where N_{Σ_R} is the total mass of the random distribution $-\mu_{C_\Sigma\cap B^c_R}$.

The following result shows that the above definition makes sense.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P}_t,X_t,\mathbb{P})$ satify H1, H2 and H3. Then $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely the random distribution $\mu_{C_\Sigma\cap B^c_R}$ has a compact support and so its mass N_{Σ_R} is well defined. Moreover one has*

$$\lim_{R\uparrow\infty} N_{\Sigma_R} = \chi_{C_\Sigma}(v_\infty) \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{–}a.s.\,.$$

Proof. Let

$$\tau_R(\gamma) := \sup\{t \geq 0\ :\ \|\gamma(t)\| < R\}\ .$$

By H3, $\tau_R < +\infty$ $\mathbb{P}$–a.s. Thus $\mu_{C\cap B^c_R}$ has compact support $\mathbb{P}$–a.s..

Let $\phi_\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi_\gamma = 1$ on a neighbourhood of $[0,\tau_R(\gamma)]$. By the definition of $\mu_{C_\Sigma\cap B^c_R}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mu_{C_\Sigma\cap B^c_R}(\gamma),\phi_\gamma\rangle &= -\,\chi_{C_\Sigma\cap B^c_R}(\gamma(0)) - \chi_{C_\Sigma}(v_\infty(\gamma))\int_{\tau_R(\gamma)}^{+\infty}\dot\phi_\gamma(t)\,dt\\ &= -\,\chi_{C_\Sigma\cap B^c_R}(\gamma(0)) + \chi_{C_\Sigma}(v_\infty(\gamma))\ ,\end{aligned}$$

and the thesis then immediately follows by taking the limit $R \uparrow \infty$. □

The next theorem shows that the definition of asymptotic flux across Σ by $\Phi^a_\Sigma := \mathbb{E}(N^a_\Sigma)$ is consistent with the result given in theorem 3.2 in the case of the flux across a boundary:

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_t, X_t, \mathbb{P})$ satify H1, H2 and H3 and suppose $\rho_t \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $v_t \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $t \geq 0$ and*

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|\nabla v(t, X_t)\|^2 \, dt < +\infty \tag{5.1}$$

for some $T > 0$. Then

$$\Phi^a_\Sigma \equiv \mathbb{E}(\chi_{C_\Sigma}(v_\infty)) = \lim_{R\uparrow\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\Sigma_R} \rho(t,x)\, v(t,x)\cdot n(x)\, d\sigma(x)\, dt \ .$$

Proof. Proceeding as is [13] one has

$$\mathbb{E}(\chi_{C_\Sigma}(v_\infty)) = \lim_{R\uparrow\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\Sigma_R \cup (\partial C_\Sigma \cap B^c_R)} \rho(t,x)\, v(t,x)\cdot n(x)\, d\sigma(x)\, dt \ .$$

The proof is then concluded by proving that:

$$\lim_{R\uparrow\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\partial C_\Sigma \cap B^c_R} \rho(t,x)\, v(t,x)\cdot n(x)\, d\sigma(x)\, dt \ = 0\,. \tag{5.2}$$

By the monotone convergence theorem, (5.2) follows from

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial (C_\Sigma \cap B^c_R)} |\rho(t,x)\, v(t,x)\cdot n(x)|\, d\sigma(x)\ dt \ < +\infty \tag{5.3}$$

for some $T > 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_{\partial (C_\Sigma \cap B^c_R)} |\rho(t,x)^{1/2}\rho(t,x)^{1/2}\, v(t,x)\cdot n(x)|\, d\sigma(x)\, dt \\
&\leq \int_0^T \left(\left(\int_{\partial (C_\Sigma \cap B^c_R)} \rho(t,x)\, d\sigma(x) \right)^{1/2} \times \right. \\
&\left. \left(\int_{\partial (C_\Sigma \cap B^c_R)} \rho(t,x))\, \|v(t,x)\|^2\, d\sigma(x) \right)^{1/2} \right) \, dt\,,
\end{aligned}$$

by trace estimates on functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (see e.g.[1], chap.5) one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T \int_{\partial(C_\Sigma\cap B_R^c)} |\rho(t,x)\, v(t,x)\cdot n(x)|\, d\sigma(x)\, dt\\ &\leq \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\rho(t,x)\, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|\nabla\rho^{1/2}(t,x)\|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} \times\right.\\ &\left.\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho(t,x)\|v(t,x)\|^2\, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\|\nabla(\rho^{1/2}v)(t,x)\|^2\, dx\right)^{1/2}\right) dt\,.\end{aligned}$$

From (2.4) we have $u=\frac{\nabla\rho}{2\rho}$, hence one has $\nabla\rho^{1/2}=u\rho^{1/2}$ and $\nabla(\rho^{1/2}v)=uv\rho^{1/2}+\rho^{1/2}\nabla v$. Therefore in order to obtain (5.3) it is sufficient to have (5.1) and

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \left(\|u(t,X_t)\|^2\ +\|v(t,X_t)\|^2\right)\, dt<+\infty$$

which is equivalent to (2.2). □

6. conclusion

The present paper introduces the notion of flux across the boundary of a bounded open set D in Euclidean space. For regular compact domains it is the expectation of the total mass of the (almost surely compactly supported) random distribution given by the distributional time-derivative of the functional

$$-\chi_D(X_t)\,,$$

where the diffusion X_t, which satisfies the stochastic differential equation $dX_t=b_t(X_t)\,dt+dW_t$, is transient. If, moreover, the limit

$$\lim_{t\uparrow\infty}\frac{1}{t}\,X_t$$

exists almost surely, then to the notion of asymptotic flux is given a sense as well. The flux can be expressed in terms of ρ_t, the density of the distribution of X_t, and the current velocity $v_t=b_t-\frac{1}{2}\,\nabla\log\rho_t$.

References

[1] Burenkov, V.I.: *Sobolev Spaces on Domains*. Stuttgart, Leipzig: Teubner 1998
[2] Carlen, E.: Conservative Diffusions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **94**, 293-315 (1984)
[3] Carlen, E.: The Pathwise Description of Quantum Scattering in Stochastic Mechanics. *Lecture Notes in Physics* **262**, 139-147. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag 1986

[4] Carmona, R.: Probabilistic Construction of Nelson Processes. *Probabilistic Methods in Mathematical Physics (Katata/Kyoto 1985)*, 55-81. Boston: Academic Press 1987
[5] Ershov, M.: On the Absolute Continuity of Measures Corresponding to Diffusion Processes. *Theory of Prob. and Appl.* **17**, 169-174 (1972)
[6] Föllmer, H.: Time Reversal on Wiener Space. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1158**, 119-129. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag 1986
[7] Föllmer, H. and Wakolbinger, A.: Time Reversal of Infinite-dimensional Diffusions. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **22**, 59-77 (1986)
[8] Haussmann, U and Pardoux, E.: Time Reversal of Diffusions. *Ann. Probab.* **14**, 1188-1205 (1986)
[9] Millet, A., Nualart, D. and Sanz, M.: Integration by Parts and Time Reversal of Diffusion Processes. *Ann. Probab.* **17**, 208-238, (1989)
[10] Nelson, E.: Derivation of the Schrödinger Equation from Newtonian Mechanics. *Phys. Rev.* **150**, 1079-1085 (1966)
[11] Nelson, E.: *Dynamical Theories of Brownian Motion.* Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press 1967
[12] Nelson, E.: *Quantum Fluctuations.* Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press 1985
[13] Posilicano, A. and Ugolini, S.: Scattering into Cones and Flux across Surfaces in Quantum Mechanics: a Pathwise Probabilistic Approach. *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 5386-5399 (2002)
[14] Zheng, W. and Meyer, P.A.: Quelques résultats de Mécanique Stochastique. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1059**, 223-244. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag 1985

Dipartimento di Scienze, Università dell'Insubria, via Valleggio 11, I-22100 Como, Italy
E-mail address: andreap@uninsubria.it

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Matematica, via Saldini 50, I-20133 Milano, Italy
E-mail address: ugolini@mat.unimi.it